PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

M176

				PCT				
	see form P	CT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	cant's or agent's file r	_						
	national application N F/GB2005/050001		International filing date (co.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.01.2004			
	national Patent Class 7D405/04, A61K3		both national classification 3/06, C07F7/08	and IPC				
Appl	icant DIVIR AB							
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	Box No. II	Priority						
	ES DOX 140. II	•						
	Box No. III	•	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
	***	Non-establish	of invention					
	Box No. III	Non-establish Lack of unity of	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> i	s.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	☑ Box No. III☑ Box No. IV☑ Box No. V	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	☑ Box No. III☑ Box No. IV☑ Box No. V☑ Box No. VI	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents	of invention tement under Rule 43bit itations and explanation nents cited	s.1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents Certain defects	of invention tement under Rule 43binations and explanation nents cited in the international ap	is.1(a)(l) with regard is supporting such stopping such such such such such such such such	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
2.	Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain document Certain defect Certain observer	of invention tement under Rule 43bit itations and explanation nents cited	is.1(a)(l) with regard is supporting such stopping such such such such such such such such	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
2.	Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for invritten opinion of the applicant che	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observation International present the Internation reau under Rule	tement under Rule 43bistations and explanation nents cited is in the international apparations on the international eliminary examination is nai Preliminary Examination than this one	is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such standard plication and application made, this opinion was Authority ("IPEA") to be the IPEA and the such such such such such such such such	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
2.	Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observation International proof the Internation ooses an Authoreau under Rule reau under Rule	tement under Rule 43bistations and explanation nents cited is in the international apparations on the international eliminary examination is rail Preliminary Examination of the first other than this one of 66.1 bis(b) that written sove, considered to be a city together, where apparation is sove, considered to be a city together.	is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such standard plication and application made, this opinion was Authority ("IPEA") to be the IPEA and the opinions of this interest written opinion of the opinion of	to novelty, inventive step or industrial atement vill usually be considered to be a large to the the chosen IPEA has notifed the			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for invritten opinion of the applicant chellent applicant chellent international Bury will not be so collisted in the international Bury will not be so co	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obser ION International proof the Internation occurred under Rule reau under Rule	tement under Rule 43be sitations and explanation nents cited is in the international apparations on the international eliminary examination is nai Preliminary Examination is eliminary examination is elimination in el	is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such standard plication and application made, this opinion was Authority ("IPEA") to be the IPEA and the opinions of this interest written opinion of the opinion of	vill usually be considered to be a later this does not apply where he chosen iPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co if this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire. For further options	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obser ION International proof the Internation ooses an Authority reau under Rule	tement under Rule 43be sitations and explanation nents cited is in the international apparations on the international eliminary examination is nai Preliminary Examination is eliminary examination is elimination in el	is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such standard plication and application made, this opinion was Authority ("IPEA") to be the IPEA and the opinions of this interest written opinion of the opinion of	vill usually be considered to be a later this does not apply where he chosen iPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			
	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co if this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire. For further options	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obser ION International proof the Internation ooses an Authority reau under Rule	tement under Rule 43bistations and explanation nents cited is in the international apparations on the international eliminary examination is nail Preliminary Examination is not preliminary Examination is 66.1 bis(b) that written over considered to be a by together, where apparation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of CT/ISA/220.	is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such standard plication and application made, this opinion was Authority ("IPEA") to be the IPEA and the opinions of this interest written opinion of the opinion of	vill usually be considered to be a later this does not apply where he chosen iPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

A. Jakobs

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2617



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/050001

	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With re the lan	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ader Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type	of material:						
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. form	nat of material:						
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
•	t C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
	4. Addit	ional comments:						
	Вох	No. II Priority						
	•	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.						
		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
	3. Addi	tional observations, if necessary:						

AN INTERNAL CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE STAT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/050001

	. h 15 to		on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
Box	No. III Non-establishment of leability	opini	Off Willi regard to his conj,			
	salar the eleimed in	vention to the contract of the	on appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non live not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application	n,				
Ø	claims Nos. 1,2,12,13 (partially)					
beca	ause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	e claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinior				
X	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1,2,12,13 (partially)					
	the standard provided for in Annex					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucle not comply with the technical	otide requir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, rements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further	r deta	ils			

THE STOREST CONTROL OF STOREST C

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/050001

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,7,18

No: Claims

1,2,4-6,8-17,19-24

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3,7,18

No: Claims

1,2,4-6,8-17,19-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

The state of the s

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/050001

Re Item III.

Present claims 1,2,12,13 relate to a rather elevated number of possible compounds. Support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the compounds wherein A is O or CH2, B is CHR3, R1=H, R3 is H, F, OH, NH2 or a pharmaceutically acceptable ester, amide of ether thereof, D is O or NR5.

Remark: the structures drawn up for examples 21,27,28,29 do not correspond to the names given accordingly in the description.

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: HIDALGO-ZARCO, FERNANDO ET AL: "Kinetic properties and inhibition of the dimeric dUTPase-dUDPase from Leishmania major" PROTEIN SCIENCE, 10(7), 1426-1433 CODEN: PRCIEI; ISSN: 0961-8368, 2001, XP008047778
 - D2: CANO, V. ET AL: "Monometoxytrityl derivatives of uridine as inhibitors of a human recombinant UDP-glucuronosyltransferase: UGT16" LIFE SCIENCES, 61(1), PL1-PL8 CODEN: LIFSAK; ISSN: 0024-3205, 1997, XP008047794
 - D3: US-A-5 559 101 (WEIS ET AL) 24 September 1996 (1996-09-24)
 - D4: O'DELL, C. ALLEN ET AL: "Carbocyclic analogs of 3',4'-didehydro-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-2,4(1H,3H)- pyrimidinediones" NUCLEOSIDES & NUCLEOTIDES, 13(9), 1929-37 CODEN: NUNUD5; ISSN: 0732-8311, 1994, XP008047788
 - D5: NIIHATA, SHIGEO ET AL: "Synthesis of 2',3'-didehydro-2',3'-dideoxy nucleosides from 2',2'-bis(phenylthio) nucleoside analogs" BULLETIN OF THE CHEMICAL SOCIETY OF JAPAN, 68(8), 2327-9 CODEN: BCSJA8; ISSN: 0009-2673, 1995, XP008047808
 - D6: TAKAMATSU, SATOSHI ET AL: "Convenient synthesis of fluorinated

- nucleosides with perfluoroalkanesulfonyl fluorides" NUCLEOSIDES, NUCLEOTIDES & NUCLEIC ACIDS, 21(11 & 12), 849-861 CODEN: NNNAFY; ISSN: 1525-7770, 2002, XP008047806
- D7: HORWITZ, JEROME P. ET AL: "Nucleosides. IX. The formation of 2',3'-unsaturated pyrimidine nucleosides via a novel .beta.-elimination reaction" JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, 31(1), 205-11 CODEN: JOCEAH; ISSN: 0022-3263, 1966, XP008047787
- D8: VAN AERSCHOT A. ET AL.: "2,3'- DIFLUORO- AND 3'-AZIDO-2'-FLUORO SUBSTITUTED DIDEOXYPYRIMIDINES AS POTENTIAL ANTI-HIV AGENTS" BULL. SOC. CHIM. BELG, vol. 98, no. 12, 1989, pages 937-941, XP008047840
- D9: US-A-5 410 033 (CLIVE ET AL) 25 April 1995 (1995-04-25)
- D10: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 289 (C-0956), 26 June 1992 (1992-06-26) & JP 04 077485 A (YAMASA SHOYU CO LTD), 11 March 1992 (1992-03-11)
- D11: WO 95/07287 A (CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE (CNRS; GOSSELIN, GILLES;) 16 March 1995 (1995-03-16)
- D12: BEACH J W ET AL: "A highly stereoselective synthesis of anti-HIV 2',3'-dideoxy-" JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. EASTON, US, vol. 57, no. 14, 1992, pages 3887-3894, XP002953555 ISSN: 0022-3263
- D13: WO 97/37691 A (LYNX THERAPEUTICS, INC) 16 October 1997 (1997-10-16)
- D14: VON JANTA-LIPINSKI M ET AL: "Newly synthesized L-enantiomers of 3'fluoro-modified beta-2'-deoxyribonucleoside 5'-triphosphates inhibit
 hepatitis B DNA polymerases but not the five cellular DNA polymerases
 alpha, beta, gamma, delta, and epsilon nor HIV-1 reverse transcriptase."
 JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY. 4 JUN 1998, vol. 41, no. 12, 4
 June 1998 (1998-06-04), pages 2040-2046, XP002330488 ISSN: 00222623
- D15: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 018, no. 004 (C-1149), 6 January 1994 (1994-01-06) & JP 05 247082 A (JAPAN TOBACCO INC), 24 September 1993 (1993-09-24)
- D16: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 249 (C-0948), 8 June

1992 (1992-06-08) & JP 04 054193 A (JAPAN TOBACCO INC; others: 01), 21 February 1992 (1992-02-21)

- 2 CLAIMS 1,2,4-6,8-17,19-24
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1,2,4-6,8-13,15-17,19-24 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Document D1 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) that the activity
 - Document D1 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) that the activity of Leishmania dUTPase is efficiently inhibited by the nucleoside 5'-O-(4-4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2'deoxyuridine. The existence of specific inhibition and the apparent structural and kinetic differences (reflected in different binding strength of dNTPs) with other eukaryotic dUTPases suggest that the present enzyme might be exploited as a target for new drugs against leishmaniasis.
- 2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D2 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) 5'-trityl uridine and 5'-(4-monomethoxy)-trityl uridine and their use as inhibitors of human recombinant UGT1*6.
- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D3 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds 2'-deoxy-5'-O-(4-monomethoxytrityl)-.beta.-L-uridine and 2',3'-dideoxy-5'-O-(4-monomethoxytrityl)-.beta.-L-uridine (Cf example 20 of present application).
- 2.4 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

- subject-matter of claim 12-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D4 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds 1-[3-hydroxy-4-[(triphenylmethyl)oxymethyl]cyclopentyl-2,4(1H,3H)- pyrimidinedione and 1-[4-[(triphenylmethyl)oxymethyl] cyclopent-2-en-yl-2,4(1H,3H)- pyrimidinedione
- 2.5 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12-14,16-20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D5 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 1-[5(O-t-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-dideoxy-beta-D-glycero-pent-2-enofuranosyl]uracil
- 2.6 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D6 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds 2',3'-Dideoxy-3'-fluoro-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)-uridine, 1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(triphenylmethyl)-beta-D-xylofuranosyl]uracil, and 2',3'-dideoxy-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)-uridin-2'-ene.
- 2.7 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D7 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 2',3'-dideoxy-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)-uridin-2'-ene.
- 2.8 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D8 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds 2'-fluoro-5'-O-trityluridine and 2,3'-difluoro-5'-O-trityluridine.
- 2.9 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D9 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the preparation of 2', 3'-Didehydro-2',3'-dideoxy-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)uridine

- 2.10 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Document D10 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 1-[5-[[[(1,1-dimethylethyl)diphenylsilyl]oxy]methyl]-2,5-dihydro-2-furanyl]-2,4(1H,3H)-pyrimidinedione.
- 2.11 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19,20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D11 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 5'-O-tertiobutyldiphenylsilyl-2'.3'-dideoxy-beta-L-uridine
- 2.12 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12-14,16,17,19,20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D12 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds
 - 1-[5-O-tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl-2,3-dideoxy-beta-D-glycero-pentafuranosyl]uracil and 1-[5-O-tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl-2,3-dideoxy-beta-D-glycero-pent-2-eno- furanosyl]uracil.
- 2.13 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D13 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 5 '-Dimethoxytrityl-O-2'-fluoro-3 '-aminouridine (5 '-DMT-O-2'-fluoro-3'-aminouridine).
- 2.14 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D14 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compounds 2',3'-Dideoxy-3'-fluoro-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)-uridine and 2'-deoxy-3'-fluoro-5'-O-(triphenylmethyl)-uridine
- 2.15 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

subject-matter of claim 12,13,15-17,19,20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D15 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-erythro-uracil.

2.16 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12-14,16,17,19,20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D16 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the compound 5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxymethyl]-2,5-dihydro-2-furanyl-2,4(1H3H)-pyrimidinedione].

3 CLAIMS 1,2,4-6,8-17,19-24
Claims 1,2,4-6,8-17,19-24 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).